FILE COPY

Application No. 09/039,177

Applicant(s)

Miyazano et al.

Interview Summary Examiner

David Romeo

Group Art Unit 1647



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) <u>David Romeo</u>	(3)
(2) Mary Anne Schofield	(4)
Date of Interview 14 May 2001	-
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 21-24, 26, and 28 Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A proposed examiner's amendment was discussed. Specifically, on page 1, after the title and before "Field of the Invention" delete "is a continuation-in-part of application no. 09/039,177 filed March 18, 1998 which"; cancel claims 1-20, 25, 27; in claim 28, line 4, delete "inhibits or".	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.